

<p style="text-align: center;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<p>Application No. 10/643,015</p>	<p>Applicant(s) EMRICK ET AL.</p>	
	<p>Examiner Michael J. Feely</p>	<p>Art Unit 1796</p>	

All Participants:

(1) Michael J. Feely.

(2) Rodney D. DeKruif (Reg. No. 35,853).

Date of Interview: 10 March 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Potential prior art rejection(s)

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 4, 5, 11, 12

Prior art documents discussed:

Lee (US 2003/0066998 A1), Skarzewski et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. DeKruif agreed to the changes set forth in the Examiner's amendment, in order to overcome the teachings of Lee and Skarzewski.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Michael J Feely/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)